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In this talk, we describe an approach for a data-centered user study for proof assistant tools, targeting jsCoq. There is a wide variety of interfaces for Coq (in standalone formats, such as CoqIDE; as web resources, like jsCoq, and plugins for major IDEs). But up to this point, there are no records of user studies involving large amounts of data for none of the existing tools. An analysis centered on user data could improve the overall usability of these interfaces by revealing issues with their design. In the educational field, the investigation could also help lecturers and staff to understand the students’ struggles and issues better when learning Coq. This talk shows a work in progress demo for a data collection procedure in jsCoq, and summarizes a few key discussion points for the educators involved in the Coq community.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Coq, user-study, data collection

1 INTRODUCTION

Multiple studies proposing user interfaces for proof assistants have been published in the last decades. In each edition of User Interfaces for Theorem Provers (UITP), it is possible to find a wide range of experiments for both educational and professional purposes.

For the Coq proof assistant, CoqIDE \(^1\) and ProofGeneral [Aspinall 2000] are among the most widely adopted interfaces. More recent attempts consider options with support for Web interfaces, such as jsCoq [Gallego Arias et al. 2017]. The immediate benefit of online environments like jsCoq is that the user does not need to install any tool in their local machine. Hence, they are able to try out the Coq without any setup overhead.

Several projects listed on jsCoq Github page \(^2\) seem to benefit from its portability. In particular, several educational events (Summer/Winter schools, tutorials, workshops) simply embedded the required code to be used on a particular demonstration on jsCoq. For instance, it becomes clear that as an online environment, jsCoq has a lot of potentials to be adopted as an educational resource [Warren et al. 2014].

There are multiple other tools and plugins for Coq (VSCoq \(^3\) for Visual Studio Code, Coqtail for Vim \(^4\) and even a Jupyter Notebook style interface \(^5\)). Other integrated development environments or extensions were proposed (such as CoqPIE [Roe and Smith 2016] and Company-Coq [Pit-Claudel and Courtieu 2016]), broadening options for the end user.

2 USER EXPERIENCE STUDY WITH LARGE DATASETS

Up to this point, there is no record of a large data-centered user study for any of the tools previously mentioned. In prior work, Knobelsdorf et al. [2017] performed surveys with small groups of students to answer the following question: "What kind of problems and issues do students run into when working with Coq, especially usability issues?". Ringer et al. [2020] investigates the development process of users using proof assistants, but it targets experienced users and also reports the results of a small data set. Whereas qualitative data is a perfectly valid approach, it would be interesting to have large datasets to observe how new learners of Coq are performing, and more importantly,

---

\(^1\)https://coq.inria.fr/refman/practical-tools/coqide.html
\(^2\)https://github.com/jscoq/jscoq
\(^3\)https://github.com/coq-community/vscoq
\(^4\)https://github.com/whonore/Coqtail
\(^5\)https://github.com/EugeneLoy/coq_jupyter
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which types of issues they have when using Coq. An analysis based on big data could also reveal crucial insights for experienced users at the same time.

One way to obtain such a data set would be via jsCoq. Contrary to similar attempts for data massive data collection in IDEs (see the project Blackbox [Brown et al. 2018], for reference), jsCoq is an online tool, and an asynchronous integration with node.js server redirecting the browser data to a database (for example, MongoDB 6) would be enough to generate data entries. Each record could contain a snapshot of the user text (from the scratchpad), which button they clicked, a cursor to the proof, the output of the Coq worker, and a timestamp. This setting would enable a full reconstruction of the user timeline and their sequence of steps when using jsCoq.

The data collection strategy previously described would also enable institutions to deal with their own privacy restrictions, consent forms, ethics approval, and anonymization mechanisms according to their own norms, instead of relying on a centralized database in an external institution. A similar approach has been described for the LearnOCaml platform ([Canou et al. 2017]) by Ceci et al. [2021].

This data collection followed by data analysis results could bring up multiple other factors related to the overall user experience, not only about the tool interface. For students, it could reveal which topics are harder, point out redundant proof steps and common mistakes. It could also be the key to automated guidance from the platform (for example, automatic suggestion for libraries and refactoring).

3 DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

This presentation aims to show a simple demo of this data collection for jsCoq (still a work in progress during summer 2021), and at the same time invite the community, especially those directly involved with education, to investigate ways to provide students with a better user experience of Coq tools. Analyze the collected data can guide the path for interface enhancements and possibly lower the entry bar to the topic of proof assistants.

A number of related ideas and questions may deserve a place for discussion:

- Is it possible to derive lessons from other existing environments for theorem provers, such as Lean 7? The Lean community has a detailed introductory tutorial, which seems efficient for both beginners in the field, and also for people with prior experience in proofs, but new to Lean.
- Would it be valid to try a cross-institution effort in the Coq community to improve these tools? The Blackbox project relied on multiple universities for collecting data and analyzing.
- What other research questions could one ask with such a dataset, besides interface and educational-related questions?
- What about the usability of offline tools? Would it make sense to have a Language Server Protocol (LSP) for Coq? There seems to be an open issue on the official project 8.
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